

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<p>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>7</sup> : <b>A61K 31/215, 31/40, 31/19, 31/225</b></p>		A1	<p>(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 00/18395</b></p> <p>(43) International Publication Date: <b>6 April 2000 (06.04.00)</b></p>
<p>(21) International Application Number: <b>PCT/US99/15385</b></p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: <b>8 July 1999 (08.07.99)</b></p> <p>(30) Priority Data: <b>60/102,457</b> 30 September 1998 (30.09.98) US</p> <p>(71) Applicant <i>(for all designated States except US)</i>: <b>WARNER-LAMBERT COMPANY [US/US]; 201 Tabor Road, Morris Plains, NJ 07950 (US).</b></p> <p>(72) Inventor; and</p> <p>(75) Inventor/Applicant <i>(for US only)</i>: <b>BLACK, Donald, Michael [US/US]; 3993 Thornwood Court, Ann Arbor, MI 48105 (US).</b></p> <p>(74) Agents: <b>RYAN, M., Andrea; Warner-Lambert Company, 201 Tabor Road, Morris Plains, NJ 07950 (US) et al.</b></p>		<p>(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AU, BA, BB, BG, BR, CA, CN, CU, CZ, EE, GD, GE, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KP, KR, LC, LK, LR, LT, LV, MG, MK, MN, MX, NO, NZ, PL, RO, SG, SI, SK, SL, TR, TT, UA, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</p> <p>Published <i>With international search report.</i></p>	

(54) Title: **METHOD FOR PREVENTING OR DELAYING CATHETER-BASED REVASCULARIZATION**

(57) Abstract

Aggressively lowering cholesterol in patients suffering from coronary artery disease prevents or delays the need for catheter-based revascularization.

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

METHOD FOR PREVENTING OR DELAYING CATHETER-BASED  
REVASCULARIZATION

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 This invention concerns the use of statins and other cholesterol lowering  
agents to prevent or delay the need for catheter-based revascularization in patients  
suffering from coronary artery disease and in need of such treatment.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10 Catheter-based revascularization procedures (such as percutaneous  
transluminal coronary angioplasty [PTCA], atherectomy, stents, and laser  
ablation) are intended to provide rapid and sustained pain relief to patients with  
symptomatic (angina pectoris) coronary artery disease (CAD). The effectiveness  
of PTCA, the most common of these procedures, in relieving angina and  
improving exercise tolerance is well-established. However, this method is limited  
by the risk of acute complications arising from the procedure, such as death,  
15 nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI), or need for emergency coronary artery  
bypass grafting (CABG). In addition, PTCA has technical limitations depending  
on the location of the stenosis, as well as a restenosis rate of up to 40%. PTCA  
and similar techniques are most successful when dilating short, limited sections of  
the coronary artery system. Stenoses greater than 2 cm in length are associated  
20 with decreased success rates. Other recanalization methods are being evaluated to  
attempt to circumvent some of the problems associated with PTCA, but these  
methods are burdened by similar rates of restenosis, and their own inherent  
disadvantages. No effective treatment for restenosis is known at this time.

25 Most importantly, the recanalization procedures do not influence the  
underlying disease which leads to the coronary artery stenoses, namely by  
formation of atherosclerotic plaques. There are several potential mechanisms by  
which lipid-lowering therapy may affect the disease process.

-2-

5 The first of these is the observation from many studies that by reducing low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), one can slow the progression of atherosclerosis, or even induce regression of existing plaques. However, the slight increase in lumen area resulting from plaque regression may not be sufficient to explain the substantial accompanying reduction in coronary ischemic events.

10 A second hypothesis is that lipid-lowering therapy may induce soft, fatty plaques to become more fibrous, thereby reducing the risk to rupture suddenly. It has been observed that the majority of clinical events are caused by mild to moderate lesions (<70% stenosis) abruptly progressing to severe obstruction.

15 Histologic findings suggest that a large lipid pool, and profuse lipid-laden foam cells in the fibrous cap of the atheroma, predispose it to fissuring and subsequent plaque disruption. Experimental and mechanical studies have demonstrated that lipid-lowering therapy decreases the number of lipid-laden intimal macrophages and, early in the regression process, hydrolyze liquid cholestryl ester to crystalline cholesterol monohydrate. This may increase the "stiffness" of the lipid pool. Lipid-lowering therapy eventually depletes both core cholestryl ester and cholesterol monohydrate deposits. Thus, lipid-lowering therapy may act to stabilize lesions, in addition to reducing their size. However, the correlation between lipid stiffness and a reduction in cardiac events is still speculative.

20 25 A third theory is that decreasing plasma cholesterol leads to an improvement in endothelial dysfunction. Both human and animal studies have demonstrated that CAD and/or hypercholesterolemia impairs the coronary arterial vasodilation mediated by the endothelium. Animal studies have demonstrated improved endothelium-dependent vasodilation after cholesterol lowering. A study by Gould, et al, in 15 patients suggests that intensive cholesterol lowering over a period of 90 days improves myocardial perfusion capacity in patients with CAD, as evidenced by positron emission tomography (PET) after intravenous dipyridamole, most likely through an improvement in endothelial function.

30 Last, a number of hemorrheological factors (eg, fibrinogen, Factor VII, plasma viscosity, hematocrit, red blood cell aggregation, and total white cell count) may contribute to both acute thrombotic events, and to the development of atherosclerosis. Lipid-lowering therapy has been shown to improve whole blood viscosity, plasma viscosity, and red cell aggregation.

-3-

Irrespective of the actual mechanism, many clinical trials have demonstrated a correlation between lipid lowering and improved cardiac outcomes. A recently published study, the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study, also demonstrated that lipid lowering, in a hyperlipidemic population, led to a 42% reduction in cardiac mortality, and more than a 30% reduction in total mortality.

Although hypercholesterolemia is one of the most important risk factors for CAD, no studies have compared the long-term outcome of aggressive lipid lowering to recanalization of the coronary arteries. One study that compared another mechanism of medicinal treatment to surgery was the Angioplasty Compared to Medicine (ACME) study. The ACME study compared the effects of PTCA with medical therapy (antianginal medication) on angina and exercise tolerance in patients with single-vessel CAD. Although PTCA offered earlier and more complete relief of angina than medical therapy, and was associated with better performance on the exercise test, the PTCA treatment group had a higher incidence of cardiac events and repeat revascularizations.

Although PTCA is sometimes used in asymptomatic patients, or those with only mild symptoms of angina pectoris despite the presence of a positive exercise tolerance test, it is debatable whether PTCA or similar interventions are indicated in this patient population.

I have now established, in an open-label, randomized, multicenter study in asymptomatic or mildly to moderately symptomatic patients with LDL-C  $\geq 130$  mg/dL, that there is a dramatic improvement in clinical outcomes between aggressive lipid lowering with atorvastatin compared to a recanalization procedure. Aggressive lipid lowering with atorvastatin significantly delays or even avoids the need for recanalization in these patients.

Atorvastatin is an HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor currently marketed as Lipitor®. It is a member of the "statin" class of organic compounds. Preclinical and clinical studies have demonstrated that atorvastatin reduces plasma total cholesterol, LDL-C, very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (VLDL-C), and triglycerides (TG). Additionally, clinical studies have shown that atorvastatin appears to have several potential advantages over currently marketed statin

-4-

reductase inhibitors, eg. enhanced LDL-C and TG lowering. These factors may augment its potential effect on cardiac outcomes.

In a 6-week, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging study in 79 hypercholesterolemic patients, doses of atorvastatin from 2.5 to 80 mg/day 5 reduced LDL-C from 25% to 61%, significantly reduced total cholesterol and apolipoprotein B (apo B), and reduced TG levels up to 32%. No significant changes in high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), apolipoprotein AI (apo AI) or Lp (a), and no drug-related serious adverse events were reported. Other Phase 2 and 3 clinical studies in over 400 subjects and patients have 10 supported these results. The most frequently occurring adverse events for patients were headache, infection (mostly colds), constipation, and back pain.

Although not yet studied in humans, a study in rabbits shows atorvastatin has at least as potent an effect on atherosclerotic lesions as other reductase 15 inhibitors. Treatment of cholesterol-fed male New Zealand White rabbits with equi-efficacious doses of different HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors for 8 weeks resulted in specific changes in lesion size, monocyte-macrophage area, and the relative cellular composition of the atherosclerotic lesions. Atorvastatin reduced the size of the iliac-femoral lesions by approximately 68%, and significantly 20 reduced the extracellular matrix and smooth muscle cell area by 63%, and reduced the percentage of discernible thoracic aortic lesions compared to progression controls. Atorvastatin caused these effects to a greater extent than the other statin reductase inhibitors evaluated.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

This invention provides a method for preventing or delaying catheter-based 25 revascularization in patients suffering from coronary artery disease and in need of such treatment comprising administering a cholesterol lowering agent in an amount to cause an aggressive lipid lowering. The method is preferably carried out by administering a cholesterol lowering agent selected from the statin class of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, for example atorvastatin, pravastatin, simvastatin, 30 fluvastatin, and mevastatin. Other cholesterol lowering agents to be administered

5 include those selected from the fibrate class, for example gemfibrozil, ciprofibrate, and bezafibrate. In another embodiment, a carboxyalkyl ether cholesterol lowering agent is used to aggressively lower LDL cholesterol according to this invention, and thereby prevent or delay the need for catheter-based revascularization in a patient suffering from coronary artery disease.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The compounds to be used in the method of this invention are those which are effective at lowering cholesterol (LDL) in animals. Typical of the commonly used cholesterol lowering agents are the fibrates, the HMG-CoA reductase 10 inhibitors, ie, the statins, and a new group of compounds known as the carboxyalkyl ethers. The cholesterol lowering agents are administered at a dose and frequency so as to aggressively lower the LDL cholesterol levels. The term 15 "aggressively lowering LDL cholesterol levels" means reducing the serum LDL cholesterol by at least forty percent from baseline, and preferably by about fifty percent or more. This level of LDL cholesterol generally corresponds to an LDL serum concentration of about 100 mg/dL or lower, preferably about 80mg/dL, and even lower to about 70mg/dL or lower. To achieve aggressive lowering of cholesterol, the cholesterol lowering agent will generally be administered at a dose sufficient to effect such lowering of LDL-C below about 100 mg/dL. For example, 20 a typical dose of atorvastatin utilized to achieve aggressive cholesterol lowering is about 50 to about 150 mg per day, preferably about 80 mg per day, for an adult of normal weight of about 70 kg. Other cholesterol lowering agents can similarly be administered at doses to effect aggressive cholesterol lowering, and in some cases 25 the agents may be used in combination with other agents in order to achieve such aggressive cholesterol lowering according to this invention.


Numerous cholesterol lowering agents are known and can be used to cause aggressive cholesterol lowering according to the method of this invention. Typical agents to be used in the method of this invention include, but are not limited to, fibrates (eg, clofibrate, gemfibrozil, fenofibrate, ciprofibrate, and bezafibrate),

-6-

niacin, carboxyalkylethers, thiazolinediones, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and EPA-containing compositions (eg, Max EPA, SuperEPA).

Thiazolinediones useful in the present invention include, for example, darglitazone, Pioglitazone, BRL49653 (rosiglitazone), and troglitazone.

5 Carboxyalkylethers useful in the invention are described in U.S. Patent No. 5,648,387. Specifically, such compounds have the structure of Formula I



wherein


n and m independently are integers from 2 to 9;

10 R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>3</sub>, and R<sub>4</sub> independently are C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> alkyl, C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> alkenyl, C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> alkynyl, and R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub> together with the carbon to which they are attached, and R<sub>3</sub> and R<sub>4</sub> together with the carbon to which they are attached, can complete a carbocyclic ring having from 3 to 6 carbons;  
Y<sub>1</sub> and Y<sub>2</sub> independently are COOH, CHO, tetrazole, and COOR<sub>5</sub> where R<sub>5</sub> is  
15 C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> alkyl, C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> alkenyl, C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> alkynyl;  
and where the alkyl, alkenyl, and alkynyl groups may be substituted with one or two groups selected from halo, hydroxy, C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> alkoxy, and phenyl

Preferred carboxyalkylethers for use in the invention have the above formula wherein n and m are the same integer, and wherein  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $R_3$ , and  $R_4$  each are alkyl.

Further preferred carboxyalkylethers are those in which  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  independently are COOH or COOR<sub>5</sub> where R<sub>5</sub> is alkyl.

The most preferred carboxyalkylethers for use in the invention have the formula




25

wherein n and m are each an integer selected from 2, 3, 4, or 5, ideally 4 or 5.

-7-

An especially preferred carboxyalkylether for use in the invention has the formula



The ability of cholesterol lowering agents to cause an aggressive lowering of LDL cholesterol and to thereby prevent or delay the need for catheter-based revascularization in patients diagnosed with coronary artery disease has been established in clinical trial studies. The following detailed examples are provided by way of illustrating the significant ability of aggressive cholesterol lowering according to this invention to prevent or delay the need for catheter-based revascularization.

#### EXAMPLE 1

Atorvastatin was evaluated in a multi-center, open-label, clinical trial involving 341 patients. This trial is intended to determine whether aggressive lipid lowering with a cholesterol lowering agent can significantly delay or even obviate the need for catheter-based revascularization in patients diagnosed with coronary artery disease.

#### STUDY OBJECTIVE(S)

The objective of this study is to compare the clinical courses of aggressive lipid lowering with atorvastatin versus revascularization treatments (AVERT) followed by usual care in asymptomatic or mildly to moderately symptomatic patients with CAD and LDL-C  $\geq 130$  mg/dL who are referred for a recanalization procedure. This will be determined by evaluating cardiovascular outcomes, all-cause mortality, quality of life, an economic assessment of outcomes, and safety measures.

-8-

## SUMMARY OF STUDY

This is an 18-month, open-label, randomized, multicenter study in 341 patients with elevated LDL-C ( $\geq 130$  mg/dL whether or not they are receiving lipid-lowering medication) who have had angiography. Patients who may qualify 5 for the study are those whose angiogram indicates that they are eligible for a recanalization procedure and who have asymptomatic or mildly to moderately symptomatic CAD (with regard to angina pectoris symptoms with or without antianginal therapy). Patients must be Class I or II based on the Canadian Cardiovascular Society classification of angina severity, and must be able to 10 complete 4 minutes of a Bruce protocol treadmill test, or a 20-W/min supine bicycle exercise test. Qualified patients will be randomized to one of 2 treatment groups: Group 1 will receive atorvastatin 80 mg QD, and Group 2 will undergo a recanalization procedure followed by usual care.

## STUDY POPULATION

### 15 Source and Number of Patients

**Source:** Patients will be recruited from the population of patients who have just undergone or are about to undergo angiography and in whom a recanalization procedure is recommended.

**20 Number of Patients:** 341 (163 patients received atorvastatin, 178 received revascularization)

### Patient-Selection Criteria

#### *Inclusion Criteria*

- Men or women. Women of childbearing potential may be enrolled but must plan not to become pregnant during the course of this study and must practice a method of birth control that is considered suitable by the investigator. If established on hormonal contraceptives for more than 3 months, patients will 25 be allowed to participate, providing this therapy remains constant throughout

-9-

the study. If a patient becomes pregnant or begins breast-feeding during the study and is receiving atorvastatin, she must be withdrawn immediately;

- Patients in whom a regulatory agency-approved recanalization procedure is recommended;
- 5       • Patients with  $\geq 1$  major coronary vessel never subjected to intervention with  $\geq 50\%$  stenosis;
- Patients with LDL-C  $\geq 130$  mg/dL ( $\geq 3.4$  mmol/L) as calculated by the Friedewald formula;
- Patients are allowed to be taking lipid-lowering medication at the time the 10 LDL-C is determined;
- Patients with serum TG  $\leq 400$  mg/dL ( $\leq 4.5$  mmol/L);
- Patients who can complete 4 minutes of a Bruce protocol treadmill test or a 20-W/min supine bicycle test without developing objective evidence of ischemia (ST segment depression of  $\geq 2$  mm); and,
- 15       • Patients with asymptomatic or mildly to moderately symptomatic CAD (Class I or II of the Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCS) classification of angina severity).

*Exclusion Criteria*

- Patients under 18 or over 80 years of age;
- 20       • Women who are breast-feeding or who are pregnant;
- Patients with left main disease, or 3-vessel disease (defined as presence of  $>50\%$  stenosis in all 3 major coronary arteries);
- Patients with unstable angina or patients who experience angina after mild exercise;

-10-

- Patients who have been recommended to have a direct immediate angioplasty for evolving acute MI;
- Patients who have experienced an MI within the previous 28 days;
- Patients with known ejection fraction <40%;
- 5 ◦ Patients with CHF who are NYHA Class III or IV;
- Patients who have undergone a CABG, unless current recanalization is recommended for a native vessel and current angiogram indicates bypass grafts are present;
- Patients in whom a CABG is recommended based on the current angiogram;
- 10 ◦ Patients who underwent a recanalization procedure during the previous 6 months;
- Patients consuming an average of more than 21 alcoholic drinks per week (approximately 277 g of pure alcohol);
- Patients with significant renal dysfunction, active liver disease, or hepatic dysfunction, SGOT (AST) or SGPT (ALT) >2 × the upper limit of normal (ULN) range;
- 15 ◦ Patients with CPK levels >3 × ULN or unexplained elevations of CPK;
- Patients having uncontrolled hypertension, defined as a diastolic blood pressure >100 mm Hg identified as the disappearance of all sound (Korotkoff Phase V) after sitting quietly for at least 3 minutes, whether taking or not taking a concurrent antihypertensive medication;
- 20 ◦ Patients who may be unreliable as study participants based on the investigator's prior knowledge of the patient, such as someone who abuses alcohol;

-11-

- Patients participating in another clinical study concurrently or within the 30-day phase prior to screening for entry into the present study;
- Patients with known hypersensitivity to HMG-CoA-reductase inhibitors;
- Patients with other significant abnormalities that the investigator feels may compromise the patient's safety or successful participation in the study.

5

#### **Prohibited Medications or Precautions**

The following medications are not allowed in Group 1 (atorvastatin therapy) during this study:

- Lipid-regulating drugs: niacin, probucol, Metamucil® (>2 tablespoons per day), fibrates and derivatives, other HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, or fish oils;
- Immunosuppressive agents; and,
- Drugs known to be associated with rhabdomyolysis in combination with HMG-CoA-reductase inhibitors (eg, cyclosporine, erythromycin, gemfibrozil, or niacin).

10

15

There are no study restrictions on medications for patients in Group 2 (recanalization procedure followed by usual care).

The dosage and regimen of any chronic, permitted concurrent medication should be managed appropriately throughout the study.

20

Patients in Group 1 who were receiving a lipid-lowering medication during the screening period must discontinue such medication at the time of randomization and begin atorvastatin instead. Patients in Group 2 who were receiving lipid-lowering medication during screening may continue on this medication, if desired.

25

The occasional use of antacids is permitted.

**Intensive antianginal therapy is strongly recommended for patients in both treatment groups.**

-12-

## STUDY DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

### Study Design

This is an 18-month, open-label, randomized, parallel-group study comparing aggressive lipid lowering with atorvastatin (80 mg QD) to a 5 recanalization procedure followed by usual care. The study is conducted in patients with LDL-C  $\geq$ 130 mg/dL and TG  $\leq$ 400 mg/dL who have asymptomatic or mildly to moderately symptomatic CAD (with or without antianginal therapy) and in whom a recanalization procedure is recommended. "Usual care" represents a heterogeneous mix of therapies that may include calcium channel blocker use or 10 lipid-lowering therapy (including diet, behavior modification or antihyperlipidemic medication). Patients who have undergone angiography, or are about to undergo angiography, will be considered for this study based on the 15 results of the angiogram and other inclusion criteria. The study will be performed using a common protocol at all study sites. The investigators and patients will not be blinded to treatment group, but will be blinded to lipid measurements throughout the study.

### Study Schedule


The schedule of visits for this study and procedures to be performed at 20 each visit are closely monitored. However, a patient may be seen at any time for reasons of safety. There should be no more than 6 weeks between the screening visit (Visit S1) and the first visit of the treatment phase (Visit T1), and no more than 8 weeks between the angiogram and the original recanalization procedure for 25 patients in Group 2. All laboratory procedures, such as clinical laboratory measurements and lipoprotein and apolipoprotein determinations used for efficacy measurements, will be performed by a central laboratory. Part of the sample obtained at the screening visit may be sent to a local laboratory for a quick response to determine patient eligibility. The rest of the sample should be sent to the central laboratory (split sample).

The timing of clinic visits should be consistent throughout the study and, 30 for patients in Group 1, atorvastatin should be taken at approximately the same time each day, ie, at bedtime. Clinic visits during all phases of the study at which

-13-

lipid profiles will be determined must occur after a minimum 12-hour fast (water allowed). The only exception to this is the screening visit at which it is preferred, but not required, that patients be in a fasting state. For patients in Group 1, the blood sample must be drawn 6 to 18 hours after the previous dose of study 5 medication. The foregoing study design is illustrated below in Table 1.

Table 1. Study Design



*Screening Phase*

The purpose of the screening phase is to assess a patient's qualification for randomization to the study.

5 If the institution commonly performs the angiography and then performs the recanalization procedure at a later date, the following sequence of events should be followed (per Table 1):

10 Patients who have undergone an angiography and who meet the coronary inclusion criteria and who have none of the coronary exclusion criteria will be evaluated for this study. The investigator should inform the patient about the study, obtain the patient's medical history and informed consent, draw blood for lipid measurements and clinical laboratory parameters, and perform an exercise test and physical examination. If he or she qualifies for the study on the basis of his or her lipid measurements and exercise test results, and has none of the exclusion criteria, he or she will be randomized 15 to receive atorvastatin, or to undergo the recanalization procedure followed by usual care, depending on the randomization code. All screening procedures must be completed and the patient randomized within 6 weeks of the angiogram. The original recanalization procedure in Group 2 must be completed within 8 weeks of the angiogram.

20 If the institution commonly performs the recanalization procedure during the catheterization for the angiogram, the following sequence of events should be followed:

25 The investigator should inform the patient about the possibility of qualifying for this study and should obtain informed consent before angiography. In addition, a medical history, exercise test, and physical exam should be completed, and blood should be drawn for lipid measurements and clinical laboratory parameters. If the patient qualifies for the study on the basis of his/her lipid measurements and exercise test results, and has none of the exclusion criteria, he or she should undergo angiography and then, if he or 30 she meets the coronary artery inclusion criterion and has none of the coronary exclusion criteria, be randomized to either receive atorvastatin or undergo the recanalization procedure followed by usual care, depending on the randomization code.

-15-

Patients at North American sites must follow a Bruce protocol for a treadmill exercise test, while patients at European sites may follow either a Bruce protocol or a 20-W/min supine bicycle test. The protocols for either test are well-known. In order to qualify for the study, patients must be able to complete 4 minutes of the Bruce protocol or a 20-W/min supine bicycle test without developing objective evidence of ischemia (ST segment depression of  $\geq 2$  mm). If a patient is able to complete 4 minutes, he or she should complete as much of the remainder of the test as he or she is able.

When blood is drawn for screening clinical laboratory measurements, the sample should be split. Half of the sample should be sent to the local laboratory for a quick response to determine patient eligibility, and the other half should be sent to the central laboratory. All subsequent samples should be sent in their entirety to the central laboratory.

Results of the angiography will be recorded (retrospectively in some cases) in the study CRFs. The angiography films should be stored at the site as source documents.

**Randomization must occur no later than 6 weeks after angiography and the intervention for patients in Group 2 must occur within 8 weeks of angiography.**

20 *Open-Label Treatment Phase*

All patients will be randomized to either Group 1 or Group 2 according to a randomization code. Patients in Group 1 should discontinue any lipid-lowering medication they may have been receiving and start open-label therapy with atorvastatin 80 mg (two 40-mg tablets) taken once daily at bedtime. Patients in Group 2 will undergo the recommended recanalization procedure followed by usual care. "Usual care" represents a heterogeneous mix of therapies that may or may not include lipid-lowering therapy (eg, diet, behavior modification, or antihyperlipidemic medication). **Usual care will be determined by the investigator or the patient's primary physician (or whoever typically decides the patient's follow-up care) as he or she sees fit. These patients will return to the investigator as scheduled and report what therapy(ies) they are receiving.** Since any of a number of people may be dictating a patients' follow-up care, and

-16-

any of a number of people may be seeing the patient for study visits (eg, the investigator, a nurse, a fellow), we will provide a standard list of questions to ask these patients to try to reduce bias.

5 Patients in both treatment groups should take one aspirin daily. Optimal antianginal therapy is strongly recommended for all patients.

Visit T1 (Week 0) is the visit at which qualifying patients will be randomized. This will be the same day as the angiography and recanalization procedure (for patients in Group 2) at institutions that combine the angiography with the recanalization procedure. At institutions that separate the angiography 10 from the recanalization procedure, it is the day that the investigator knows that the patient meets all of the inclusion criteria and none of the exclusion criteria, assigns the patient a number, and opens the randomization code to determine to which treatment group the patient is randomized. **It will not necessarily be the day that the recanalization procedure will be performed for patients in Group 2.**

15 For the purposes of this study, some information about the original recanalization procedure in Group 2, and any other recanalization procedures in either group, will be recorded in the study CRFs. This includes type of intervention, intervention vessel, the percent stenosis before and after the intervention, and the success of the procedure (defined as a >20% change in 20 luminal diameter narrowing and a residual diameter <50% stenosis without the occurrence of death, acute MI, or need for acute CABG during hospitalization).

25 In order to have a revascularization procedure after randomization (and after the original recanalization procedure in Group 2) a patient should demonstrate objective evidence of a deterioration in his or her condition, defined as one of the following:

- 30 • Rest angina with ECG changes; or
- A 3-minute deterioration on a Bruce protocol treadmill test or supine bicycle test (time to  $\geq 2$  mm ST segment depression) while on maximal antianginal therapy; or
- An acute myocardial infarction verified by objective evidence.

-17-

**Patients who experience a nonfatal ischemic event or who undergo a revascularization procedure (other than the initial recanalization in Group 2) at any time after randomization should not be dropped from the study.**

5        Clinic visits will occur after 6 and 12 weeks, 6 months, and then every 6 months thereafter. At all visits, blood samples will be drawn and sent to the central laboratory for the determination of safety parameters, lipoproteins, and additional parameters. The central lab will notify the site and the sponsor if a patient's LDL-C decreases to <50 mg/dL. The investigator may choose to reduce the dose of atorvastatin at his or her discretion. A patient may be seen at any time 10      for reasons of safety.

15      The quality-of-life questionnaire, the SF-36 Health Survey (SF-36), will be administered at baseline (Visit T1), at Month 6, and at the end of the study. This is a short form, 36-item, questionnaire that was designed to measure general health status from the patient's point of view. The SF-36 is available in a number of languages and can generally be completed by patients in 5 to 10 minutes. During the course of the study, the patient should be encouraged to maintain a healthy lifestyle, which may include modifications in dietary, smoking, or exercise habits.

### **Efficacy Assessment**

#### *Primary Efficacy Parameter(s)*

20      The primary efficacy parameter will be the incidence rates associated with an ischemic event in each treatment group. In addition to obtaining the proportion associated with an ischemic event, we also have an interest in evaluating (by treatment group) the total number of these ischemic events and the distribution of each of the events that define an ischemic event. An ischemic event is defined as 25      the occurrence of at least one of the following events: cardiac death, cardiac arrest, nonfatal MI, ischemic CVA, CABG, recanalization (other than the original recanalization procedure in Group 2), or unstable angina with objective evidence.

#### *Secondary Efficacy Parameters*

The secondary efficacy evaluation will include the following:

30      • Time from randomization to the occurrence of an ischemic event;

-18-

- Change from baseline in angina class (CCS);
- Percent change from baseline in LDL-C, HDL-C, total cholesterol, total TG, apo AI, apo B, and Lp(a);
- Quality of life (QOL) determined by SF-36 questionnaire; and,

5        • All-cause mortality rates.

Other parameters of interest include:

- Patients in the atorvastatin group (Group 1) who undergo a PTCA or other recanalization procedure will have their subsequent ischemic incidence rates compared with patients in Group 2 (all the patients in this group have a PTCA or similar procedure);
- An evaluation of the number of PTCA's or other recanalization procedures required in the atorvastatin group compared to the usual care group; and,
- A correlation analysis between the incidence rates of the ischemic events and the LDL-C and triglyceride measurements.

15        *Economic Assessment*

This study will assess the cost of treating asymptomatic or mildly to moderately symptomatic patients with either atorvastatin or a recanalization procedure followed by usual care. Costs to be considered will include the cost of clinical end points, the cost of the treatment regimens (recanalization procedure or atorvastatin medication), and costs associated with serious attributable adverse events. Serious attributable adverse events are defined for Group 2 as pseudoaneurysm, groin hematoma, access-site bleeding, abrupt vessel closure, dissection, or reaction to contrast dye; and for Group 1 as hepatitis or rhabdomyolysis. Generalized costs for provider services for the above-mentioned events and interventions will be obtained from national databases. In addition, 20        these costs may also be collected from some or all of the participating study sites.

25        **Safety Assessment**

-19-

HMG-CoA-reductase inhibitors have been associated with 2 important side effects: elevated liver transaminases and the occurrence of myopathy. Accordingly, at each predetermined visit, all patients must have AST, ALT, and CPK measured as commonly recommended. Additionally, patients in Group 2  
5 should be monitored as appropriate for the agents used.

*Physical Examination*

A physical examination and medical history will be performed at the screening visit (S1), and a repeat physical examination will be performed every 6 months thereafter. Significant detrimental changes will be recorded as adverse  
10 events.

*Adverse Events*

Adverse events will be recorded at each clinic visit and up to 15 days following the cessation of treatment.

*Laboratory Evaluation*

15 Full clinical laboratory determinations including urinalysis by Ames Multistix® will be performed at Screening, Week 0 (Visit T1), Month 6, and at the end of the study. An abbreviated clinical laboratory determination of key safety parameters (liver enzymes and CPK) will be performed at all other visits for patients in both treatment groups. A verified clinically significant laboratory  
20 abnormality occurring during the study will be reported as an adverse event and followed until the abnormality has resolved or a satisfactory explanation has been obtained.

25 Elevations in laboratory parameters that may be associated with the medical therapy that constitutes "usual care" (Group 2) should be managed as considered appropriate by the investigator/primary care physician.

Elevations in CPK and liver transaminase levels that occur during therapy with atorvastatin (Group 1) should be managed as follows:

30

- If at any time during the study the patient's ALT or AST levels increase to  $>3 \times$  ULN range, the patient should be scheduled for a repeat laboratory measurement within 1 week ( $\pm 3$  days). If the repeat value is still  $>3 \times$  ULN

-20-

range, the dose should be reduced by 50% (to 40 mg QD). Patients who continue in the study at the reduced dose should have ALT/AST levels reassessed within 1 more week ( $\pm 3$  days). If the repeat value is still  $>3 \times$  ULN range, the dosage should be halved once again to 20 mg QD. The dosage may 5 again be halved to 10 mg QD, if necessary, or the patient may discontinue atorvastatin but remain in the study.

- If at any time during the study, the patient's CPK level increases to  $>10 \times$  ULN range, the patient should be scheduled for a repeat laboratory measurement within 1 week ( $\pm 3$  days). If the repeat value is still  $>10 \times$  ULN range WITH 10 muscle tenderness or weakness, the patient must be withdrawn from atorvastatin but may remain in the study.
- If the repeat value is still  $>10 \times$  ULN range WITHOUT muscle tenderness or weakness, the dose should be reduced by 50% (to 40 mg QD). Patients who continue in the study at the reduced dose should have their CPK level 15 reassessed within 1 week ( $\pm 3$  days). If the repeat value is still  $>10 \times$  ULN range without symptoms the dose should be halved again to 20 mg QD. The dose may again be halved to 10 mg QD, if necessary, or the patient may discontinue atorvastatin but remain in the study.

20 Patients should be advised to report promptly unexplained muscle pain, tenderness, or weakness, particularly if accompanied by fever or malaise.

NOTE: Once a patient has decreased the atorvastatin dose due to elevated liver enzymes or elevated CPK levels, that patient cannot be titrated back to the higher dose. Consideration should be given to temporarily withholding or discontinuing atorvastatin in any patient with a risk factor predisposing to the development of 25 renal failure secondary to rhabdomyolysis, including severe acute infection; hypotension; major surgery; trauma; severe metabolic, endocrine, or electrolyte disorders; and uncontrolled seizures.

*Safety Profile*

-21-

At each visit, the following minimum safety data will be collected: pulse, blood pressure, and weight.

#### *Electrocardiogram*

5 A standard resting 12-lead electrocardiogram will be performed during the beginning of the treatment phase (Visit T1) and every 6 months thereafter.

### **DATA ANALYSIS AND STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS**

#### **Sample Size Considerations**

10 The statistical analysis associated with this study considers a number of parameters. The primary efficacy parameter is the incidence rate of an ischemic event (defined as the occurrence of at least one of the following events: cardiac death, cardiac arrest, nonfatal MI, ischemic CVA, CABG, recanalization [other than the original procedure in Group 2], or unstable angina with objective evidence. The secondary parameter analysis considers: the time from randomization to the occurrence of an ischemic event; the change from baseline in 15 angina class; the percent change from baseline to the end of treatment on a number of lipid parameters; a QOL measure (based on the results of the SF-36 Health Survey); and all-cause mortality rates.

20 The sample size is based on the number of patients required to obtain a reliable statistical test to test the difference between the 2 groups on incidence rates of ischemic events. In addition, the sample size recommended will provide an adequate sample size for a powerful statistical test to compare the 2 groups on time to an ischemic event and the change from baseline in lipid parameters.

25 Assuming an incidence rate of 35% for the usual care group and a 20% incidence rate for the atorvastatin group; the recommended sample size is 340 patients (170/group). The sample size derived provides a 0.05 level of significance with 85% power for detecting a significant difference between the 2 groups of these incidence rates. In deriving the sample size, we took into consideration an expected 5% patient drop-out rate.

The statistical analyses will be performed on all randomized patients.

-22-

The primary efficacy parameter is defined as the incidence rates of an ischemic event. The Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel Test will be used to compare the ischemic incidence rates between the 2 treatment groups. This test will provide us with a method of comparing the proportions between the 2 groups while adjusting for other variables in the model. Odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals will also be produced.

As a follow-up we will investigate the distribution of the first ischemic event (defined below) between the 2 treatment groups:

- Cardiac death,
- Cardiac arrest,
- Nonfatal MI,
- Ischemic CVA,

]

- More Serious

- CABG,
- Recanalization procedure,
- Unstable angina (verified by objective evidence).

]

- Less Serious

10 Descriptive statistics will be provided by treatment group on the total  
number of ischemic events.

## Analysis of the Secondary Efficacy Parameters

The following secondary parameters will be analyzed:

15           • Time from randomization to the occurrence of an ischemic event;

16           • Change from baseline in angina class;

17           • Percent change from baseline to the end of treatment for the following lipid

18           parameters:

19           - LDL-C,

20           - HDL-C,

21           - Total Cholesterol,

- Total TG,
- Apo B,
- Apo Al, and
- Lp(a)

5           ◦ QOL Measure; and

         ◦ All-Cause Mortality Rates.

In order to compare the 2 treatment groups on the time to the occurrence of an ischemic event, we will conduct a survival analysis using a Cox regression analysis on a model having the following structure:

10 Time To Ischemic Event =  $l_1 l_2$  Trial Treatment;

where:  $I_1$  identifies the patient as having single or double vessel disease;

$I_2$  identifies the patient as asymptomatic or symptomatic;

Trial is an indicator variable that identifies the particular center associated with a patient; and

15 Treatment identifies the group to which the patient was randomized.

Using the Cox regression analysis, we will compare the 2 treatment groups on the survival times, while adjusting for the concomitant variables included in the model and taking into consideration any censored observations. The survival times for the censored observations will be defined as:

20     ◦ If a patient withdraws before the 18-month period of follow-up, we will make every attempt to contact the patient in order to obtain the actual time an ischemic event occurred (if any); and if we are not successful in contacting the patient, the survival time will be the time period from randomization to the last contact period; and

25     ◦ If an ischemic event does not occur during the study period, then the survival time will be the time period from randomization to the end of the study.

In addition, the trial by treatment interaction will be investigated.

-24-

Using PL (Product-Limit) Estimates given by Kaplan and Meir, we will provide estimates of the survival curves with 95% confidence intervals overall and within each center. In addition, we will provide graphs of the estimated survival curves for the 2 treatments.

5 The change from baseline in angina class, the percent change from baseline to the end of treatment associated with the lipid parameters described above, and the QOL measures will be analyzed using the following model:

$$Y = Y_B I_1 I_2 \text{ Trial Treatment};$$

where:  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are defined above; and  $Y_B$  corresponds to the baseline value  
10 of  $Y$ .

Ninety-five percent confidence intervals for the difference in the percent change for each of the parameters, overall and within each trial, will be generated. Again, we will investigate the trial by treatment interaction.

15 To compare the all-cause mortality rates between the 2 treatment groups, we will employ the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel Test mentioned above in the discussion of the primary efficacy parameter. Again, odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals will be produced.

Other parameters of interest include:

- 20 • Patients in the atorvastatin group who undergo a PTCA or other recanalization procedure will have their subsequent ischemic events incidence rates compared with patients in the recanalization procedure/usual care group (all the patients in this group have a recanalization procedure);
- 25 • An evaluation of the number of PTCAAs or other recanalization procedures required in the atorvastatin group compared to Group 2;
- A correlation analysis between the incidence rates of the ischemic events and the LDL-C and triglyceride measurements.

-25-

Also, an additional analysis of the primary efficacy parameter will be performed that will exclude those CABGs and recanalization procedures that occurred in either treatment group and were not indicated based on the criteria for intervention outlined in the Open-Label Treatment section.


5       Results of the foregoing study establishes that aggressive lowering of LDL with a cholesterol lowering agent is effective to prevent or delay the need for catheter-based revascularization. In the trial of 341 patients, only 13% of those who were treated with high doses (80 mg) of atorvastatin encountered an adverse heart event over the next 18 months, whereas 21% of the patients whose  
10      blockages were cleared with an angioplasty procedure suffered such adverse events within the same time period. The atorvastatin-treated patients had their LDL cholesterol reduced on average to 77 mg/dL from 140 mg/dL before treatment. That is well below the 130 mg/dL level considered as the target for people without heart disease, and the 100 mg/dL threshold for patients with  
15      symptoms of heart disease. LDL cholesterol in patients treated with angioplasty fell to about 119 mg/dL during the study. This study clearly establishes that LDL levels well below the 100 mg/dL goal is unexpectedly better and to be preferred. The results establish that aggressively lowering LDL by administering an effective amount of a cholesterol lowering agent will enable patients to forego costly  
20      angioplasty without fear of increasing their risk of a heart attack. The data establish that 87% of patients randomized to atorvastatin treatment, who were originally candidates for angioplasty, were instead able to remain on drug therapy alone for the duration of the 18-month trial, without experiencing any adverse cardiovascular events.

-26-

## CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method for preventing or delaying catheter-based revascularization in patients suffering from coronary artery disease and in need of such treatment comprising administering a cholesterol lowering agent in an amount effective to cause an aggressive lowering of LDL cholesterol.  
5
2. A method according to Claim 1 wherein the cholesterol lowering agent is an HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor.
3. A method according to Claim 2 wherein the HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor is selected from atorvastatin, mevastatin, cerivastatin, simvastatin, fluvastatin, dalvastatin, pravastatin, and lovastatin, or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof.  
10
4. The method according to Claim 3 wherein the HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor administered is atorvastatin, or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof.  
15
5. The method according to Claim 4 wherein the amount of atorvastatin administered is from about 50 mg/day to about 150 mg/day.
6. The method according to Claim 5 wherein atorvastatin is administered at a dose of about 80 mg/day.
- 20 7. A method according to Claim 1 wherein the cholesterol lowering agent is a carboxyalkyl ether of the formula



or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof.

-27-

8. The method according to Claim 7 wherein the cholesterol lowering agent administered is 6,6'-oxybis-(2,2-dimethylhexanoic acid), or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof.
9. A method according to Claim 1 wherein the cholesterol lowering agent is selected from a fibrate.
10. The method according to Claim 9 wherein the cholesterol lowering agent is selected from clofibrate, gemfibrozil, fenofibrate, ciprofibrate, and benafibrate.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 99/15385

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
 IPC 7 A61K31/215 A61K31/40 A61K31/19 A61K31/225

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 A61K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category <sup>a</sup>	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 95 13063 A (MERCK & CO INC ;BRIGHAM & WOMENS HOSPITAL (US); UNIV EMORY (US)) 18 May 1995 (1995-05-18) *cf. abstract, page 4, lines 15-35, page 5, lines 22-25, claim 1* ---	1-10
X	WO 98 01100 A (TOBERT JONATHAN A ;MERCK & CO INC (US); MITCHEL YALE B (US)) 15 January 1998 (1998-01-15) *cf. abstract, page 4, lines 13-16, page 5, lines 1-5* ---	1-10
X	WO 97 16184 A (WARNER LAMBERT CO ;BOCAN THOMAS M A (US)) 9 May 1997 (1997-05-09) *cf. abstract, page 3, lines 12-25, table i on page 11* ---	1-10

 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority, claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

Date of mailing of the international search report

28 October 1999

24/11/1999

Name and mailing address of the ISA  
 European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl  
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Stoltner, A

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 99/15385

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 97 35576 A (MAN ABRAHAM ;ROTH ARIE (IL); STERN NAFTALI (IL); LANIADO SHLOMO (I) 2 October 1997 (1997-10-02) *cf. page 9, lines 18-23, page 10, lines 3-6, claims 1-7* ---	1-10
P,X	WO 99 30704 A (WARNER LAMBERT CO ;BISGAIER CHARLES LARRY (US); NEWTON ROGER SCHOF) 24 June 1999 (1999-06-24) *cf. abstract, page 2 bridging with page 3, "Summary of the invention"*	1-10
X	US 5 648 387 A (BISGAIER CHARLES LARRY ET AL) 15 July 1997 (1997-07-15) cited in the application *cf. col. 1 lines 1-12, lines 65 bridging with col. 2, lines 1-35, "summary of the invention"*	1-10
X	MCCORMICK LISA S., ET AL.: "Rationale, design, and baseline characteristics of a trial comparing aggressive lipid lowering with atorvastatin versus revascularization treatments" AM. JOUR. OF CARDIOL., vol. 80, no. 9, 1 November 1997 (1997-11-01), pages 1130-1133, XP002120664 *cf. abstract, discussion on page 1132* -----	1-10

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 99/15385

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO 9513063	A	18-05-1995	AU	1095695 A		29-05-1995
WO 9801100	A	15-01-1998	AU	3667297 A		02-02-1998
			AU	4228997 A		02-02-1998
			AU	4326197 A		02-02-1998
			WO	9801119 A		15-01-1998
			WO	9801116 A		15-01-1998
WO 9716184	A	09-05-1997	AU	7253996 A		22-05-1997
			BG	102417 A		29-01-1999
			BR	9611410 A		05-01-1999
			CA	2233558 A		09-05-1997
			CN	1201389 A		09-12-1998
			CZ	9801271 A		16-12-1998
			EP	0858336 A		19-08-1998
			NO	981961 A		04-05-1998
			PL	326365 A		14-09-1998
WO 9735576	A	02-10-1997	AU	2228297 A		17-10-1997
WO 9930704	A	24-06-1999	AU	1591599 A		05-07-1999
US 5648387	A	15-07-1997	AU	692359 B		04-06-1998
			AU	4776896 A		16-10-1996
			BG	101993 A		29-05-1998
			CA	2215233 A		03-10-1996
			CN	1182415 A		20-05-1998
			CZ	9702922 A		14-10-1998
			EP	0820428 A		28-01-1998
			FI	973713 A		24-09-1997
			HU	9801825 A		28-12-1998
			JP	11502532 T		02-03-1999
			NO	974397 A		20-11-1997
			NZ	302170 A		29-04-1999
			PL	322407 A		19-01-1998
			SK	128697 A		11-02-1999
			WO	9630328 A		03-10-1996
			US	5750569 A		12-05-1998
			US	5783600 A		21-07-1998
			US	5756544 A		26-05-1998
			ZA	9602275 A		30-09-1996